

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



			•			
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/833,978	04/12/2001	Jerry A. Jenks	698	2070	
	7590 02/19/2003					
Law Offices of John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq.				EXAMINER		
202 Delaware Building 137 South Main Street				LUEBKE, RENEE S		
	Akron, OH 44308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m				
	•	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
1	Advisory Action	09/833,978	JENKS					
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Renee S. Luebke	2833					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
		EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 January 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	Appellant's Brief must be filed v R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	within the period set of the appeal.	forth in				
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a	they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d	they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
6.[]	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	f to issues which we	re newly				
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☑ will be entered and explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-11.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet		10-11					
			Renee S. Luebke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2833					
Dotost	and Trademark Office							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/833,978

,7

1



Note concerning item 7: Although the amendments will be entered for purposes of appeal, it is noted that claim 10 does not properly describe an operating switch. The use of a single "first electrically conductive contact" will connect the second and third electrically conductive contacts and short out the device.

Continuation of 10. The "formal" drawings submitted February 7, 2003 are not approved by the examiner. The crosshatching that was proposed September 16, 2002 and approved for Fig. 2 is missing. In addition, applicant has not properly crosshatched the insulating members in Figs. 2 and 3. (See MPEP 608.02 for drawing conventions.)

Also, applicant's attention is drawn to the present 37 CFR 1.84(c) which states:

(c) Identification of drawings. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin.